BAS1: A gene regulating brassinosteroid levels and light responsiveness in Arabidopsis
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The Arabidopsis bas1-D mutation suppresses the long hypocotyl phenotype caused by mutations in the photoreceptor phytochrome B (phyB). The adult phenotype of bas1-D phyB-4 double mutants mimics that of brassinosteroid biosynthetic and response mutants. bas1-D phyB-4 has reduced levels of brassinosteroids and accumulates 26-hydroxybrassinolide in feeding experiments. The basis for the mutant phenotype is the enhanced expression of a cytochrome P450 (CYP72B1). bas1-D suppresses a phyB-null allele, but not a phyA-null mutation, and partially suppresses a cryptochrome-null mutation. Seedlings with reduced BAS1 expression are hyperresponsive to brassinosteroids in a light-dependent manner and display reduced sensitivity to light under a variety of conditions. Thus, BAS1 represents one of the control points between multiple photoreceptor systems and brassinosteroid signal transduction.

Because they are fixed in space, plants need to be flexible in their response to external stimuli. Light has an important role, being used by plants both for photosynthesis and as a developmental cue. The quality, quantity, direction, and duration of light are assessed by a variety of photoreceptors. In Arabidopsis, there are five red/far-red absorbing phytochromes (phyA–phyE), two blue/UV-absorbing cryptochromes (cry1 and cry2), and the less understood UVE photoreceptors. All affect gross morphological changes in the seedling as it makes the transition from heterotrophic growth in the dark to photoautotrophic growth in the light (1). Genetic analyses demonstrate a complex web of interactions between these photoreceptor signaling pathways (2–5).

Light regulates morphogenesis by interactions with endogenous developmental programs involving multiple phytohormones. For example, genetic analysis between gibberellin (GA)-deficient and phytochrome mutants points to interactions between these two signal transduction systems (6), which may be caused, in part, by phytochrome’s regulation of GA biosynthesis genes (7). Genetic analysis also implicates auxin in light signal transduction. The shy2 mutation, a suppressor of mutations affecting phyB (8, 9), resides in the auxin-induced gene AUX1 (10). Brassinosteroid (BR) mutants have been identified in genetic screens for plants that develop as light-grown plants in the absence of the light cue (reviewed in ref. 11). As adults, these mutants are essentially the opposite of mutants lacking phyB, being dark green, slow-growing dwarfs with epinastic leaves, short stems and petioles, and delayed senescence (6).

Genetic screens for loss-of-function mutations have identified >40 loci thought to be involved in photomorphogenesis (1). Despite this large number, it is likely that these screens have missed important light signaling components that are either redundant members of a gene family or are essential for survival. The role of such genes might be uncovered in screens for gain-of-function mutations. One way to target gain-of-function mutations is through extragenic suppressor analysis (12), an approach used successfully in Arabidopsis to identify mutations involved in light signal transduction (9, 13, 14). To facilitate the cloning of dominant suppressor mutations, we have utilized the technique of activation tagging in mutant backgrounds. Activation tagging is a modification of T-DNA tagging that specifically targets gain-of-function mutations. Multimerized copies of enhancer elements from the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter are incorporated near the right border of a T-DNA, which when inserted near a gene may cause enhanced transcription, resulting in a dominant, tagged mutation (15).

In this paper, we report the isolation of activation-tagged suppressors of the missense mutation phyB-4. We describe the identification of bas1-D (phyB activation-tagged suppressor/ dominant) caused by the amplified expression of the cytochrome P450: CYP72B1. We show that this mutant has no detectable brassinolide (BL), the most active BR, and accumulates 26-hydroxybrassinolide (26-OHBL) in feeding experiments. Transgenic lines with reduced expression of this gene have hypocotyls with enhanced responses to BL and reduced responses to light. Crosses with photoreceptor-null mutations place bas1-D downstream of phyA and cry1, making this a bypass suppressor of phyB alleles. We propose that this gene is a control point between multiple photoreceptor signal transduction pathways and BR signaling.

Materials and Methods

Mutant Screen and Genetic Analysis. The bas1-D phyB-4 mutant was identified as having a shorter hypocotyl than phyB-4 in the following screen. The phyB-4 mutation was originally isolated in the L-a-e genetic background (16, 17). To improve transformation efficiency, this mutation was introgressed into the Col-0 genetic background six times. Polymorphic markers between L-a-e and Col-0 were used to confirm introgression (18). phyB-4 mutants were transformed with the activation-tagging construct pSK1074 containing four copies of enhancer elements from the CaMV 35S promoter and conferring resistance to the antibiotic kanamycin in plants (Igor Kardailsky and Detlef Weigel, personal communication). Plants were transformed with the floral dip technique using the Agrobacterium strain GV3101 (19).

Seeds were sterilized and plated on standard growth medium (3, 20). In all experiments, plates with kanamycin (30 μg/L) or gentamicin (60 μg/L) had 0.8% phytagar (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). Plates without antibiotics had 1.0% phytagel. Seeds were grown for 6 days at 20°C in 150 μEm−2 s−1 of continuous white light (3). Candidate suppressors having
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shorter hypocotyls than phyB-4 were analyzed for the phyB-4 mutation by PCR amplification with gene-specific primers (5’-CTGTCGTCGGAAAGTGGTGGAGG-3’ and 5’-GAACCTT-GAGGCTGGAGG-3’) and digestion with the restriction endonuclease NciI.

basI-D phyB-4 plants were crossed with the null photoreceptor mutants phyB-5, phyA-201, and hy4-2,23N(cry1). For the basI-D phyB-4 phyA-201 mutant, kanamyacin-resistant F2 plants having long hypocotyls after 6 days in far-red light were genotyped for the phyB-4 and phyA-201 mutations (21). For the basI-D phyB-4 cry1 mutant, kanamyacin-resistant F2 plants having long hypocotyls after 6 days in blue light were genotyped for the phyB-4 and cry1 mutations (3). basI-D phyB-4 was isolated in a Col-0 ecotype background, the photoreceptor-null mutants in the La-er ecotype. We examined F3 populations homozygous for phyB-4 and phyA or cry1 yet segregating the basI-D mutation, allowing us to test the effect of these photoreceptors in the presence or absence of the basI-D mutation while controlling for variations caused by the different ecotypes. To test the effect of the basI-D mutation in a phyB-null mutant background, F3 seedlings heterozygous for basI-D and segregating phyB-5/phyB-4 were grown. From >200 F3 seedlings, no kanamyacin-resistant plants with long hypocotyls in white light were found, showing that basI-D suppressed a phyB-null mutation.

Cloning of BAS1. For Southern blot analysis and plasmid rescue, plant DNA was prepared from 1–2 g (fresh weight) of tissue with the PhytoPure plant DNA extraction kit (Nucleon Biosciences, Coatbridge, U.K.). The 7.3-kb HindIII rescued plasmid (pBAS1H) was sequenced with a primer 3’ of the HindIII site in the T-DNA (5’-GCCCTCTTGGACTATTTGAGC-3’). A BLAST search (22) identified genomic sequence encoding CYP72B1, the site for the restriction endonuclease arginine. Our corrected DNA sequence encoded a recognition 262 to be annotated as a tryptophan instead of the correct mutation by PCR amplification with gene-specific primers (5’-GGTTCAGGACATTGTG-3’ and 5’-GGATAACACCTTAAAGACTC-3’). The UBQ10 gene was used as a template control (23). Southern blot analysis of products from PCR runs with varying numbers of cycles was quantified with a PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics). The RT-PCR results presented were within the linear range of accuracy.

Recapitulation and Antisense Constructs. Two constructs were used for recapitulation of the basI-D mutant phenotype. After restriction of pBAS1K with the endonucleases BamHI and SacI, a 5.7-kb fragment containing the entire CYP72B1 ORF in the context of the four enhancer elements was cloned into the binary vector pFPZP212 (24). The second construct was made by cloning a BamHI/KpnI fragment from the T04442 cDNA clone into the binary vector pCHF3, which contains the CaMV 35S full promoter, the RBGS terminator from pea, and confers kanamyacin resistance for selection in plants. A construct constitutively expressing BAS1 antisense RNA was made by cloning a BamHI/ SacI fragment into the binary vector pCHF1, which contains the CaMV 35S full promoter, the RBGS terminator from pea, and confers gentamicin resistance for selection in plants. In all experiments, seedlings were measured digitally (3). Error bars represent 1 SE. Invisible error bars are smaller than the symbol. To examine the dark-grown phenotype of basI-D recapitulation lines, T2 seedlings were grown for 6 days in the dark, transferred to growth medium with kanamyacin, and imaged with a flat-bed scanner (3). After growth in the light, the hypocotyl lengths of dark-grown, kanamyacin-resistant seedlings were determined from the digital image. Tobacco seedlings were treated similarly.

BL Dose Response and Light Conditions. BL was from CIDtech Research (Mississauga, Ontario, Canada). Light conditions for fluence responses in red and blue light and seedling measurements are described in ref. 3. An E30LED growth chamber (Percival Scientific, Boone, IA) supplied far-red light. Far-red fluences were measured with a portable spectroradiometer (model LI-1800; Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE).

BR Measurements. Plants were grown on soil (3) in short-day conditions (8 hr of light, 16 hr of dark) for 5 wk before rosettes were harvested in liquid nitrogen. No inflorescence stems were seen at this time. Tissues (100–200 g fresh weight) of phyB-4 and basI-D phyB-4 were collected. BRs were analyzed according to Fujioka et al. (25). Before feeding experiments, 7-day-old seedlings were transferred to a 200-ml flask containing 30 ml of liquid growth medium and supplemented with 1% sucrose (phyB-4, 50 seedlings; basI-D phyB-4, 100 seedlings). Five days after transfer, an EtOH solution (50 μl) of 2H6-labeled BL (50 μg) or nonlabeled BL (50 μg) was added. The seedlings were incubated for 1 day at 22°C in the light on a shaker (125 rpm) then extracted with MeOH. The MeOH extract was purified with a cartridge of silica gel (Sep-Pak Vac, 2 g; Waters), which was eluted with 30 ml of chloroform, 3% MeOH in chloroform, and 20% MeOH in chloroform. The last fraction was purified with HPLC on a 150 × 4.6-mm Senshu Pak ODS-1151-D column (Senshu Scientific, Tokyo) with 45% acetonitrile at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. The fractions were collected at 1-min intervals (retention time of 1–10 min).

Each fraction was subjected to gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis after derivatization. 26-OHBL was detected at 2–3 min. Authentic OHBL analogs used in this study were chemically synthesized (26). GC-MS analysis was performed on a JEOL Automass JMS-AM 150 mass spectrometer connected to a Hewlett-Packard 5890A II gas chromatograph. Analysis was conducted under the following conditions: GC column, DB-5 (0.25 mm × 15 m, 0.25-μm film thickness; J
& W Scientific, Folsom, CA); injection temperature, 280°C; carrier gas, helium at a flow rate of 1 ml/min; ionization, EI (70 eV); column temperature, 80°C for 1 min, elevated to 320°C at 30°C/min, then maintained at 320°C. The OHBL fraction was treated with pyridine containing methaneboronic acid (20 μg per 10 μl) at 80°C for 30 min and then with 10 μl of N-methyl-N-trimethylsilyl trifluoroacetamide (MSTFA) at 80°C for 30 min.

**Tobacco Transformation.** *Nicotiana tabacum* cv. Xanthi was transformed (27) with the same *Agrobacterium* strains used for *Arabidopsis*. T2 seeds were sterilized for 30 min in 10% (vol/vol) bleach with 0.05% Triton X-100, then washed three times with sterile water and plated on 2× growth medium, 0.8% bactoagar, with or without 200 mg/1 kanamycin.

**Results**

**bas1-D Is a Suppressor of the phyB-4 Mutation.** The *phyB-4* missense allele encodes a pigment capable of nearly normal phototransformation and confers a hypocotyl phenotype intermediate between the wild-type and null *phyB* alleles (28). The resulting weak signal transduction current makes *phyB-4* an ideal target for suppressor analysis, casting a broad net in search of genes involved in light signaling. In a screen of 3000 *phyB-4* T1 (primary transformant) seedlings, we identified three dominant mutants whose phenotypes were caused by the amplification or ectopic expression of endogenous genes due to the proximal insertion of the transgene. The *bas1-D phyB-4* double mutant had a significantly shorter hypocotyl than *phyB-4* (Fig. 1A–C).

T3 seeds from heterozygotes in the T2 generation segregated 470 suppressed kanamycin-resistant and 147 nonsuppressed sensitive plants, indicating that this transgene was located at a single locus. Southern blot analysis confirmed this conclusion (data not shown). All of the kanamycin-resistant plants had the *bas1-D phyB-4* phenotype. All kanamycin-sensitive segregants did not, indicating linkage to the transgene.

We cloned genomic DNA adjacent to the right border of the T-DNA by plasmid rescue. BLAST searches (22) of flanking genomic DNA showed that the site of T-DNA insertion was on chromosome II near the *erecta* locus. The insertion of the four enhancer elements was 381 nucleotides 5’ to the transcriptional

![Fig. 1. bas1-D suppresses phyB-4 by the enhanced expression of CYP72B1. (A–F) Six-day-old, light-grown seedlings. (G–L) Dark-grown seedlings: Col-0 (A and G), phyB-4 (B and H), bas1-D phyB-4 (C and I), phyB-4 transformed with the bas1-D gene (D and J), phyB-4 transformed with the CaMV35S::BAS1 cDNA (E and K), and det2–1 (F and L). (M–P) Four-week-old plants: Col-0 (M), phyB-4 (N), bas1-D phyB-4 (O), and det2–1 (P). (Q) A diagram of the insertion, the cDNA, and restriction endonuclease sites used for plasmid rescue. White, part of the antibiotic resistance gene. Blue, pBlueScript sequence. Red, the enhancers. Green, genomic DNA. (R) Northern blot analysis of total RNA from light-grown (L) or dark-grown (D) seedlings. (S) RT-PCR analysis of rosette (R) and hypocotyl (H) tissue. (T) RT-PCR analysis of Col-0 (C) and two bas1 antisense lines (A1 and A2).](https://www.pnas.org/content/114/9/2285)
start of the \( \text{BAS1} \) gene. Northern blot analysis of total RNA showed that this gene was overexpressed in \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) (Fig. 1R). Two other predicted transcripts near the site of T-DNA insertion showed no altered accumulation in the \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) mutant compared with either the wild type or \( \text{phyB-4} \) (data not shown). The overexpressed transcript encodes a putative cytochrome P450, CYP72B1, and is represented in the \textit{Arabidopsis} EST database by clone T04442 (29). Sequencing the T04442 clone verified that it encoded a complete cDNA for CYP72B1. \( \text{phyB-4} \) mutant seedlings transformed with the mutant gene in context with the enhancer elements or with the cDNA under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter recapitulated the original \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) phenotype as light-grown seedlings and adults (Fig. 1D and E), demonstrating that this gene is responsible for the \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) phenotype.

The \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) double mutant resembled BR mutants as light-grown seedlings and adults (Fig. 1 C–F, O, and P), suggesting that the suppression of the \( \text{phyB-4} \) phenotype is caused by the alteration of BR synthesis or signaling. Unlike plants that lack or are insensitive to BRs, \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) seedlings and lines recapitated with the mutant clone (data not shown) did not have short hypocotyls in the dark (Fig. 1G–L). In contrast, dark-grown seedlings from four of five independent transformants with the cDNA under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter had short hypocotyls, indicating transcriptional regulation of this gene. Northern blot analysis of the \( \text{bas1-D} \) transcript in the \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) mutant showed no difference between light- and dark-grown seedlings, suggesting that light does not regulate the overall accumulation of \( \text{bas1-D} \) mRNA (Fig. 1R).

RT-PCR analysis demonstrated differential transcript accumulation between rosettes and hypocotyls of \( \text{phyB-4} \) (22 PCR cycles) and the \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) (16 PCR cycles) mutants, showing tissue-specific transcriptional regulation for both the wild-type and mutant gene (Fig. 1S). Quantification of RT-PCR products showed a 3-fold higher transcript accumulation in the rosette compared with the hypocotyl in both \( \text{phyB-4} \) and \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \). The expression levels and patterns in the wild type were nearly identical to those in \( \text{phyB-4} \) (data not shown), demonstrating that \( \text{bas1-D} \) suppresses \( \text{phyB-4} \) through the amplification of the endogenous \( \text{BAS1} \) expression pattern and not by the ectopic expression of this gene. Quantification showed a 50-fold accumulation of \( \text{BAS1} \) transcript in \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) compared with \( \text{phyB-4} \). \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) mutants have nearly normal hypocotyls in the dark, arguing for either light regulation of transcript accumulation specifically in the hypocotyl or posttranslational modification of the gene product.

**Altered Expression of CYP72B1 Modifies Responses to BL.** To test the role of the \( \text{BAS1} \) gene in wild-type plants, we generated partial loss of function transgenic lines by means of antisense (30). RT-PCR analysis showed that two of these lines had approximately 50% of the wild-type \( \text{BAS1} \) transcript accumulation (Fig. 1T). These lines were epistatic to \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \), further demonstrating that these are bona fide antisense mutants (data not shown). Dose-response experiments showed a hypersensitivity to BL in the hypocotyls of antisense lines when grown in the light (Fig. 2A), though not when grown in the dark (Fig. 2B). The BR-biosynthesis mutant \( \text{det2–1} \) had petioles that are shorter than the wild type in the light, while \( \text{phyB-4} \) petioles were longer than the wild type in the light. These phenotypes were rescued by increasing amounts of BL. The \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) mutant petioles were always shorter than the wild type for all BL levels tested, indicating that the rosette phenotype of \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) is insensitive to BL (Fig. 2C).

**Altered Accumulation of BRs in \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \).** To test whether the \( \text{bas1-D} \) gene product inactivates or degrades BRs, levels of BRs in \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) and \( \text{phyB-4} \) plants were determined. Studies in \textit{Arabidopsis} have confirmed the following biosynthetic sequence for both the early and late C6-oxidation pathways for brassinolide: (6-deoxo)teasterone→(6-deoxo) castasterone→castasterone→brassinolide [Fujimoto et al. (25, 31), and unpublished data]. By using gas chromatography-selected ion-monitoring analysis, castasterone and 6-deoxocastasterone were detected in \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \), but their levels were greatly reduced compared with those in \( \text{phyB-4} \). Moreover, BL was not detected in \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) (Table 1). Thus, endogenous levels of BRs in \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) were greatly diminished, suggesting that this mutation affects BR levels, which may be related to hydroxylation of BR biosynthetic intermediates. There was a concomitant increased
accumulation of 6-deoxoasteberone in the *bas1-D phyB-4* mutant. This could be caused by up-regulation of biosynthetic enzymes that are feedback-inhibited by the end-product BL, which is not detectable in the *bas1-D phyB-4* mutant.

Metabolism of deuterium-labeled and nonlabeled BL was examined by using aseptically grown seedlings. *bas1-D phyB-4* and *phyB-4* seedlings were fed nonlabeled BL and incubated for 1 day. As possible hydroxylated metabolites of BL, we chemically synthesized 14-OHBL, 20-OHBL, 25-OHBL, 26-OHBL, and 28-OHBL. Relevant fractions from the feeding experiments were analyzed by GC-MS after conversion to methaneboronate-trimethylsilyl derivatives and compared with chemically synthesized OHBL. A prominent peak, comigrating with authentic 26-OHBL, was found in *bas1-D phyB-4* (the level was five times higher than in *phyB-4* alone). To confirm the identification as 26-OHBL, we performed feeding experiments with 2H₆-BL. When 2H₆-BL was fed to the seedlings, fragment ions such as m/z 625, 583, and 570 corresponding to m/z 619, 577, and 564 of nonlabeled 26-OHBL methaneboronate-trimethylsilyl derivative were detected. Thus, 2H₆-26-OHBL was confirmed to be a metabolite of 2H₆-BL. This metabolite was six times more abundant in *bas1-D phyB-4* than in *phyB-4* (Fig. 3), further demonstrating that BL is converted to 26-OHBL in Arabidopsis seedlings and that the conversion is greater in the *bas1-D phyB-4* mutant.

### Table 1. brassinosteroid (BR) levels (ng/g fresh weight)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BR</th>
<th>phyB-4</th>
<th>bas1-D phyB-4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-deoxoTE</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-deoxoCS</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6-deoxoTE, 6-deoxoasteberone; 6-deoxoCS, 6-deoxocastasterone; CS, castasterone; BL, brassinolide; ND, not detected.
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**Fig. 3.** C-26 hydroxylation is enhanced in *bas1-D phyB-4*. Before GC-MS analysis of extracted brassinosteroids, 2H₆-labeled brassinolide was fed to *phyB-4* (A) or *bas1-D phyB-4* (B) and compared with authentic 26-hydroxybrassinolide (not shown). Arrows indicate the 2H₆-26-hydroxybrassinolide peak.

![Fig. 4.](image)

**Fig. 4.** Altered expression of *CYP7281* affects hypocotyl responses to light. Hypocotyls of 6-day-old seedlings were measured after growth in the dark or varying intensities of white light (A), far-red light (B), blue light (C), or red light (D). The lines and symbols are Col-0 (●, black), *phyB-4* (○, green), *bas1-D phyB-4* (×, blue), *BAS1* antisense line A2 from Fig. 1F (●, purple), and det2–1 (△, red). Both antisense lines had similar phenotypes.
Fluence Response Analysis. To test the possible role of \( \text{BAS1} \) in light signal transduction, we analyzed the response of both overexpressers and underexpressers to varying qualities and quantities of light (Fig. 4). Though the \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) mutant was slightly shorter than the wild type in the dark, it was hyperresponsive to continuous white, red, far-red, and blue light. The \( \text{BAS1} \) antisense lines had hypocotyls that were slightly longer than the wild type in the dark, showed a reduced responsiveness to white, red, and blue light (Fig. 4A–C), and had a wild-type response to red light (Fig. 4D). As expected, \( \text{phyB-4} \) mutants had a reduced response to white and red light when compared with the wild type. It is likely that there is minimal \( \text{BAS1} \) activity downstream of red light since the \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) mutant was less responsive to red light than to the other light conditions and given that the \( \text{BAS1} \) antisense lines responded normally to red light.

To test which photoreceptors control the activity of \( \text{BAS1} \), we made double mutants of \( \text{bas1-D} \) with null alleles of \( \text{phyA}, \text{phyB}, \) and \( \text{cry1} \) (Fig. 5). In continuous far-red light, \( \text{bas1-D} \) did not suppress a \( \text{phyA-null} \) mutation (Fig. 5A). In continuous blue light, \( \text{bas1-D} \) partially suppressed a \( \text{cry1-null} \) mutation (Fig. 5B). In contrast, \( \text{bas1-D} \) fully suppressed a \( \text{phyB-null} \) mutation (data not shown). Taken together with the fluence response analysis (Fig. 4), the \( \text{bas1-D} \) mutation appears to suppress \( \text{phyB} \) alleles through the activity of at least \( \text{phyA} \) and \( \text{cry1} \) and can be formally placed as a bypass suppressor of \( \text{phyB} \).

Heterologous Expression. To test \( \text{BAS1} \) activity in a heterologous system, we transformed tobacco plants with both recapitulation constructs, which resulted in dwarf plants reminiscent of the original \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) mutant in \textit{Arabidopsis} (Fig. 6). Tobacco plants expressing the \( \text{bas1-D} \) mutant gene had dark-green, epinastic leaves with short stems and petioles (Fig. 6B, C, and F) when compared with the wild type (Fig. 6A and F). Dark-grown seedlings from these plants had hypocotyls similar to the wild type (Fig. 6G), with the more severe of the two (Fig. 6C) having slightly shorter hypocotyls reminiscent of dark-grown \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \). Tobacco plants expressing the \( \text{BAS1} \) cDNA under control of the CaMV 35S promoter were also light-grown dwarfs (Fig. 6D–F). In the dark, these seedlings had significantly shorter hypocotyls than the wild type (Fig. 6G), with the weaker of the two cDNA expressors (Fig. 6D) having dramatically shorter hypocotyls than the strongest of the two lines expressing the \( \text{bas1-D} \) mutant gene (Fig. 6C). This shows that BRs can be inactivated by CYP72B1 in tobacco and that there is a similar transcriptional control in this heterologous plant system.

Discussion

The identification of \( \text{bas1-D} \) gives significant insight into complex signaling processes and how they interact to regulate plant development. The interplay between light and hormone signaling has been studied for years, but mechanisms connecting these pathways are poorly understood. Here, we describe a CYP450 (CYP72B1) that, with enhanced expression, suppresses the long hypocotyl phenotype of the weak photoreceptor mutant \( \text{phyB-4} \). It is likely that this enzyme catalyzes inactivation of BRs by means of hydroxylation given that: (i) the \( \text{bas1-D phyB-4} \) mutant resembles BR mutants, (ii) there is no detectable BL in
the \(bas1-D\) \(phyB-4\) mutant, and (iii) the \(bas1-D\) \(phyB-4\) mutant converts BL to a C26-hydroxylated form at a greater rate than the wild type. Feeding and dose-response experiments argue that one of the substrates for CYP72B1 is the biosynthetic end product BL. However, measurements of BR biosynthetic precursors show reduced levels of both castasterone and 6-deoxo-castasterone in the \(bas1-D\) \(phyB-4\) double mutant compared with \(phyB-4\) alone, suggesting that CYP72B1 can also act on BR precursors.

The accumulation of 6-deoxo-castasterone in \(bas1-D\) \(phyB-4\) is probably caused by increased activity of the steroid hydroxylase, CYP90A1, which catalyzes the C-23 hydroxylation of (6-deoxo)castasterone to (6-deoxo)teasterone and was originally identified through loss-of-function alleles of \(cpd\), \(dwf3\), and \(ebb3\) (32, 33). The \(CPD\) gene is expressed in the cotyledons and young leaves of developing seedlings and is down-regulated by BL (34). Because BL is undetectable in rosettes of \(bas1-D\) \(phyB-4\), one would expect higher expression of \(CPD\). Indeed, this is what we observed (data not shown). CYP90A1, a family member with CYP90A1 and originally identified as the \(dwf4\) allele (31), catalyzes the C-22 hydroxylation step prior to \(CPD\) activity. This mRNA also has increased accumulation in the \(bas1-D\) \(phyB-4\) mutant (data not shown). The accumulation of 6-deoxo-castasterone in \(bas1-D\) \(phyB-4\) suggests that \(BAS1\) does not efficiently hydroxylate 6-deoxo-castasterone, acting downstream of this intermediate in BL biosynthesis and placing \(BAS1\) downstream of both \(CPD\) and \(DFW4\).

A putative BR receptor, BR11, has been identified and shown to have homology with leucine-rich repeat receptor kinases (35). Unlike BR biosynthesis mutants, loss-of-function \(br1\) alleles are insensitive to BL. It is perplexing that the \(BR1\) gene seems to be ubiquitously and constitutively expressed throughout Arabidopsis growth and is not regulated by light, a pattern that is similar to expression of the BR biosynthetic gene \(DET2\) (ref. 35, and D. Friedrichsen and J.C., unpublished data). Thus, how do BRs act as hormones if they are synthesized and perceived in the same cell? One way of regulating tissue-specific responses to BL may be by inactivation of the steroid through \(BAS1\)-mediated hydroxylation. That \(BAS1\) has tissue-specific transcriptional regulation supports this model. Both gain-of-function and partial loss-of-function \(bas1\) mutations confer altered BR responses in light-grown hypocotyls, suggesting that, in this tissue, the activity of CYP72B1 determines the degree of response to BRs. Though dark-grown \(bas1-D\) \(phyB-4\) seedlings are etiolated, they have hypocotyls that are slightly shorter than the wild type. In addition, dark-grown \(bas1\) antisense lines have slightly longer hypocotyls than the wild type, again arguing that it is the activity of CYP72B1 that ultimately controls the hypocotyl response to BRs.

Steroid hormone inactivation by hydroxylation is not an uncommon mechanism. As is the case with \(BAS1\), CYP450-mediated C26-hydroxylation inactivates the insect hormones ecdysteroids, although the precise enzyme has not been identified (36–38). Though ecdysteroids are inactivated in a similar manner to BRs, these insect hormones do not induce BR responses in plants (39). Other insect juvenile hormones can be hydroxylated and presumably catabolized in a similar manner to ecdysone (40). CYP450-dependent C24-hydroxylation of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D-3 inactivates this form of vitamin D in both rats (41, 42) and humans (43). In plants, 28-hydroxylation inactivates gibberellins, targeting them for destruction. There are at least two dioxygenases catalyzing this reaction in pea (44) and at least three in Arabidopsis (45). In both cases, there appears to be some genetic redundancy with overlapping as well as distinct transcriptional patterns in different tissues. A similar genetic redundancy may be present for hydroxylation-mediated inactivation of BRs. Indeed, there is at least one other CYP72 in Arabidopsis (\(chi\)), which when overexpressed confers a BR-deficient phenotype similar to \(bas1-D\) (A. Nagatani, personal communication). C26-hydroxylation is probably not the only way to inactivate BRs. Steroid sulfotransferases have been isolated from both Brassica napus and Arabidopsis and have been shown to inactivate BRs through O-sulfonation (46). Though an in vivo role for these sulfotransferases has yet to be determined, it is clear that there are multiple mechanisms for the control of BRs through their catabolism.

Because the \(bas1-D\) mutation is caused by a gain-of-function mutation, we can transfer this genetic information into heterologous plant systems. We have used two constructs to create BR-deficient mutants in tobacco. To date, the only way to study tobacco plants lacking BRs is to grow them on the BR biosynthesis inhibitor brassinazole (47), creating plants that look similar to our weaker transgenic lines. There are multiple advantages to using plants overexpressing \(BAS1\) instead of growth on brassinazole. Depending on the transgene used, we can control the dark-grown phenotype of transgenic lines. The transgenic plants confer a gain-of-function allele series with some plants being more severe than plants grown on high levels of brassinazole, circumventing the need for brassinazole dose responses in different environmental conditions. Transgenic plants overexpressing CYP72B1 allow us to ask questions that cannot be easily addressed in Arabidopsis. If CYP72B1 can catalyze the C26-hydroxylation of brassinosteroids, can it also catalyze the C26-hydroxylation of ecdysone? This may prevent predators from molting after feeding on these plants. Transgenic \(bas1-D\) tobacco plants fed to Manduca sexta may address the involvement of BRs in plant/insect interactions. Because of their larger size, transgenic tobacco lines may also facilitate further biochemical analyses.

Genetic analysis with Arabidopsis photoreceptor-null mutants shows that \(bas1-D\) acts downstream of both \(phyA\) and \(cry\). Because the \(bas1-D\) mutation suppresses a \(phyB\)-null allele, this places it as a bypass suppressor of \(phyB\) signaling. It is interesting to note that the long petiole phenotype of light-grown \(phyB-4\) is rescued by increasing levels of BL, suggesting a connection between \(phyB\) and BR signaling. Both hypermorph and hypomorphic mutants of \(BAS1\) expression have altered hypocotyl responses to a variety of light conditions, arguing that the activity of this gene is controlled by multiple light-signaling pathways. Though accumulation of \(BAS1\) mRNA is not regulated by light, there is some control of this activity by photoreceptors. Dark-grown \(bas1-D\) \(phyB-4\) seedlings have hypocotyls nearly as long as the wild type, even though there is 50-fold greater accumulation of \(bas1-D\) mRNA. Therefore, there must still be some light-dependent mechanism causing hypocotyls of \(bas1-D\) \(phyB-4\) mutants to become hyperresponsive to light. This could be caused by light-regulating transcription in the hypocotyl, modifying the gene product, or altering the hypocotyl’s sensitivity to BRs. Future genetic studies addressing this light/dark regulation of \(bas1-D\) activity in the hypocotyl may elucidate the mechanism of interaction between CYP72B1 and photomorphogenic signal transduction pathways.

Activation-tagging suppressor analysis should be a powerful tool for genetic studies in plants. As with multicopy suppressor studies in yeast (48), it facilitates the genetic identification of genes that are part of a redundant family. Given the large number of redundant genes encoded in the Arabidopsis genome (49), gain-of-function mutations will play an increasingly important role in uncovering the complexities of signal transduction in plants.
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