SUGAR-INDUCED SIGNAL TRANSDUCTION IN PLANTS
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Abstract  Sugars have important signaling functions throughout all stages of the plant’s life cycle. This review presents our current understanding of the different mechanisms of sugar sensing and sugar-induced signal transduction, including the experimental approaches used. In plants separate sensing systems are present for hexose and sucrose. Hexokinase-dependent and -independent hexose sensing systems can further be distinguished. There has been progress in understanding the signal transduction cascade by analyzing the function of the SNF1 kinase complex and the regulatory PRL1 protein. The role of sugar signaling in seed development and in seed germination is discussed, especially with respect to the various mechanisms by which sugar signaling controls gene expression. Finally, recent literature on interacting signal transduction cascades is discussed, with particular emphasis on the ethylene and ABA signal transduction pathways.
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INTRODUCTION

Sugars such as sucrose, glucose, and fructose have an essential function in plant metabolism. These sugars are important for intermediary and respiratory metabolism and are the substrate for synthesizing complex carbohydrates such as starch and cellulose. Moreover, sugars provide the building blocks for amino acid and fatty acid biosynthesis and essentially all other compounds present in plants. These metabolic processes have long been studied in depth but another aspect of plant sugar biology has recently become the focus of intense research efforts: the signaling function of sugars. Sugars as such can signal alterations in gene expression similar to the concepts developed for hormones. Whereas hormones are purpose-built molecules that are functional in the nano- to micromolar range, sugars take part in intermediary metabolism and are present in the millimolar range. Sugar sensing can be defined as the interaction between a sugar molecule and a sensor protein in such a way that a signal is generated. This signal then initiates signal transduction cascades that result in cellular responses such as altered gene expression and enzymatic activities. The metabolic and signaling functions of sugars are not always easy to separate but in many cases, convincing evidence for a signaling function has been obtained. Questions addressed in sugar sensing and signaling are similar to those of other signal transduction cascades. These relate to which sugars are being sensed, their interaction with sensor molecules, the molecular nature and cellular location of these sensors, the transduction of the signal and, finally, the way in which gene expression, enzymatic activities, or other cellular processes are altered.

Sugars as signaling compounds have profound effects in all stages of the plant’s life cycle from germination and vegetative growth to reproductive development and seed formation. There has been extensive research effort in bacteria, yeast, and animal systems to understand sugar sensing in molecular detail; the yeast glucose repression system in particular has provided a wealth of information (18, 40, 79). This information is important for other eukaryotes as well. Sugar sensing in yeast is by definition a cell-autonomous process, a characterization most likely true for plant cells as well. However, in multicellular plants with specialized metabolic organs and metabolite transport systems, integrative responses to plant sugar status are needed.

Several excellent reviews on sugar sensing have recently appeared (47, 74, 88, 132, 149, 150). The review by Koch (88) presented and discussed an extensive list of sugar-regulated genes. This review aims to provide an update on recent literature and an integrated view of sugar signaling in plants. First, the experimental approaches to studying sugar signaling are introduced, followed by a discussion
on the different aspects of known sugar sensing mechanisms and sugar mediated signal transduction. In the final part, sugar signaling and its integration with other plant signaling and developmental pathways are discussed.

APPROACHES TO STUDYING SUGAR SENSING AND SIGNALING

The various strategies for studying sugar signaling can be divided into genetic, molecular, biochemical, and physiological approaches. The creative combination of these methodologies has been productive in many fields and is also used successfully to study sugar-signaling pathways in plants.

Mutants are important tools to analyze the physiological function of complex sensing and signaling systems. Moreover, mutants allow the study of functional interactions between genes. Importantly, in several plant systems, the technology is now available to clone the relevant genes and study their function. Arabidopsis is being used extensively in sugar sensing research for mutant identification, and several laboratories have established different mutant identification protocols (Table 1). Several groups are using reporter-based screening protocols in which promoters of sugar-induced or sugar-repressed genes are linked to reporters like β-glucuronidase (GUS, iudA) or luciferase (LUC) genes. These constructs are introduced into plants and used as tools to select sugar-unresponsive or sugar hyperresponsive mutants. The plastocyanin (PC) gene of Arabidopsis can be repressed by sugars (31) and in seedlings carrying a PC-promoter luciferase reporter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screen</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced sensitivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>cai</em> carbohydrate insensitive</td>
<td>Low nitrogen, 100 mM sucrose (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>gin</em> glucose insensitive</td>
<td>Growth on 330 mM glucose (188)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>lba</em> low-level beta amylase</td>
<td>Amylase act., 175 mM sucrose (104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>mig</em> mannose insens. germin.</td>
<td>Growth on 7.5 mM mannose (119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ram</em> reduced beta amylase</td>
<td><em>pgm</em> mutant on sucrose (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>rsr</em> reduced sugar response</td>
<td><em>Pat(B33)-GUS</em>, 90 mM sucrose (101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>sis</em> sugar insensitive</td>
<td>300 mM sucrose or glucose (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>sun</em> sucrose-uncoupled</td>
<td><em>PC-LUC</em>, 88 mM sucrose (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>sig</em> sucrose-insens. growth</td>
<td>Growth on 350 mM sucrose (119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced sensitivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>gss</em> glucose super sensitive</td>
<td>Growth on 56 mM glucose (119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>sss</em> sucrose super sensitive</td>
<td>Growth on 350 mM sucrose (119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>hba</em> high-level beta amylase</td>
<td>Amylase act., 175 mM sucrose (105)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>prl1</em> pleiotopic regul. locus</td>
<td>Growth on 175 mM sucrose (111)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
gene construct, luciferase activity is similarly repressed by sugars. Mutants defective in sucrose repression were identified on the basis of normal luminescence when grown on plates with 3% sucrose (30). Such sucrose uncoupled (sun) mutants show no or reduced sucrose repression of luminescence. In these mutants, endogenous PC, CAB, and RBCS mRNA levels were similarly insensitive to sugar repression.

A similar strategy was used to select for mutants in sugar induction. The patatin class I (B33) promoter is induced by sugars, and signaling mutants were selected by using transgenic Arabidopsis plants harboring the Pat (B33)-uidA construct and a nondestructive GUS activity assay (101). In this way, reduced sugar response (rsr) mutants were identified in which sucrose-induced expression of patatin is perturbed. Genetic analysis suggests that one of these mutants, rsr4, is codominant (101) and likely encodes an activator, whereas most other sugar sensing mutants that were isolated are recessive and probably encode repressing functions.

The Arabidopsis β-amylase gene is induced by sugars, and mutants that display either an increased or a reduced sugar sensitivity have been isolated in amylase activity screens (32, 104, 105). A mutant was identified showing elevated β-amylase expression (hba1, high level β-amylase) independent of the presence of sugars in the medium. Conversely, the low-level beta amylase (lba) mutants show reduced induction of β-amylase gene expression in response to sugars. Remarkably, the Arabidopsis Landsberg erecta (Ler) ecotype represents a natural lba mutant (105). A single recessive Ler locus, named lba2, reduced the sugar responsiveness of β-amylase gene expression. Sucrose-induced accumulation of anthocyanins is reduced in both lba1 and lba2 mutants. Moreover, the expression of only a subset of sugar-regulated genes is affected in these mutants.

An equally effective mutant isolation strategy relies on the observation that Arabidopsis seedling development is arrested at high (6%) glucose concentrations. Mutant seedlings that develop more or less normally in the presence of 6% glucose have been isolated and are named glucose insensitive (gin) (188). Other mutants with reduced sugar sensitivity are the carbohydrate insensitive (cai) mutants. Arabidopsis seedlings grown on a high-sucrose/low-nitrogen medium show enhanced sugar signaling due to increased intracellular sugar concentrations. Wild-type seedlings grown under these conditions accumulate high levels of anthocyanin and are low in chlorophyll. A number of cai mutants have been isolated that do not accumulate anthocyanin and that show higher levels of chlorophyll (15).

The glucose epimer mannose is phosphorylated by hexokinase (HXK) to mannose-6-phosphate (Figure 1), which only slowly enters glycolytic metabolism (87). In Arabidopsis mannose and also the glucose analog 2-deoxy glucose (2-dGlc) inhibit seed germination in a process that involves HXK signaling (121). Non-HXK substrates such as 3-O-methyl glucose (3-O-mGlc) and 6-deoxyglucose (6-dGlc) have no effect on germination, whereas the HXK inhibitor mannoheptulose relieves the mannose-induced block of germination. This mannose inhibition of germination can be reversed by the addition of metabolizable sugars.
Arabidopsis is an oilseed and during germination the lipids are converted to sucrose in a process that involves the glyoxylate cycle. Thus HXK signaling may inhibit mobilization of lipids and, possibly, other storage compounds as well, and in this way prevent germination (121). This observation was used to isolate mannose-insensitive-germination (mig) mutants potentially defective in HXK activity or in HXK-induced signaling (119). Not surprisingly, several of the mutants isolated in a particular screen turned out to be mutants under other selective conditions as well, e.g. selected sun and cai mutants are mig and/or gin as well (15, 119). Other screening methods have been used (summarized in Table 1). Several of the mutant isolation protocols described allow for screening of T-DNA and transposon-tagged seed collections. This greatly accelerates the isolation of genes affected using PCR techniques, and a number of genes have been identified in this way. Notwithstanding their usefulness, these mutant selection approaches are rather crude and do not address the intricate complexities associated with sugar transport, sugar inter- and intracellular compartmentation, and plant development and differentiation. The challenge is to devise selective mutant identification procedures addressing these points.

In addition to the mutant isolation approach, genes have been cloned from several different plant species that are homologous to genes encoding known components of sugar sensing pathways in microorganisms, especially yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae). For example, yeast HXK is thought to play a central role as a sugar sensing molecule and plant HXK genes have been cloned and analyzed for their function in sugar sensing as well (25, 73). Also, plant genes have been isolated that encode homologues of the yeast heterotrimeric SNF1 kinase complex involved in derepression of glucose-repressed genes (14, 53, 93). In addition, many pharmacological and other compounds are known that interfere with signal transduction steps, often with reasonable specificity. The effect of such compounds in inhibiting or promoting specific sugar responses is indicative of the involvement of specific intermediary steps in signaling. Thus, protein kinases, protein phosphatases, Ca\(^{2+}\), and calmodulin have been implicated in sugar signaling.
Figure 2  Sugar sensing mechanisms in plants. Hexose sensing can occur via separate hexokinase-independent or hexokinase-dependent systems. Sucrose is sensed via a separate system.

WHICH SUGARS ARE BEING SENSED?

In principle, any neutral sugar or glycolytic intermediate could have a signaling function but so far this has only been shown for hexoses and sucrose (Figure 2). Next to sugars, the cellular energy status must have an important signaling function for the control of metabolism.

Sucrose Sensing

A signaling function for molecular sucrose was proposed in the past but only recently has experimental evidence for this role of sucrose become available. The problem is that sucrose can readily be hydrolyzed in glucose and fructose, and in the absence of specific non-hydrolyzable sucrose analogs, it is difficult to establish a direct function for the sucrose molecule. Sucrose-specific induction of gene expression has been reported for the patatin promoter and the phloem-specific rolC promoter, among others (76, 177, 184). Glucose and fructose were less effective inducers. For these promoters, however, the function of sucrose as inducing agent has not been exhaustively investigated; sucrose is efficiently transported and hydrolyzed in plants and the resulting monosaccharides may be the signal. For example, the glucose analog 3-O-mGlc is an effective inducer of the patatin promoter (101).

Recently, an Arabidopsis basic leucine zipper gene, ATB2, was described that is controlled by light and sucrose via transcriptional and translational control, respectively (135, 136). ATB2 expression is associated with newly established sink
tissues. It is rapidly induced in funiculi upon fertilization of the ovules and is expressed in association with vascular tissue in developing leaves. Transcription of the gene is controlled by light through the DET1 and COP1 gene products. Remarkably, translation of the ATB2 mRNA is repressed specifically by sucrose at physiological concentrations. Other sugars and also combinations of glucose and fructose were ineffective in this repression. The ATB2 mRNA has a complex leader containing small open reading frames. Deletion of this leader abolishes sucrose repression, which shows that a sucrose-specific signal controls translation. Possibly, in this system it is the influx of sucrose into the cell that is being sensed rather than the actual cytosolic sucrose concentration, since Arabidopsis seedlings synthesize sucrose efficiently when glucose or fructose is added (31), although this sucrose apparently is not sensed. A signaling function for molecular sucrose was also suggested by experiments with excised sugar beet leaves (23). In this system, sucrose repressed mRNA levels and transport activity of the proton-sucrose symporter. Glucose and fructose had no effect on activity. In conclusion, these findings point to the presence of sucrose-specific sensing and signaling pathways in plants.

Hexose Sensing

Experimental evidence suggests the presence of at least two different systems for hexose sensing (Figure 2). One system senses hexose as such while the other requires substrate phosphorylation by a hexose kinase for signaling.

**Hexose Sensor Proteins** In yeast, membrane proteins with homology to hexose transporters are present that function as glucose sensors (116, 117). These SNF3 and RGT2 proteins sense low and high levels of glucose, respectively. Dominant mutations in the SNF3 and RGT2 proteins have been identified that initiate signaling in the absence of glucose. Also in yeast, a membrane-bound glucose sensor GPR1 has recently been identified (89). GPR1 is a G protein–coupled receptor specifically required for glucose activation of the cAMP pathway.

Such hexose sensing proteins are also present in plants, although their molecular nature and cellular location are still obscure. Glucose analogs like 3-O-mGlc and 6-dGlc can initiate signaling but are not phosphorylated by hexokinase (Figure 1). In a cell suspension–culture of *Chenopodium rubrum*, the addition of either glucose or 6-dGlc induces the expression of genes for extracellular invertase and sucrose synthase (46, 133). In the unicellular green alga *Chlorella kessleri*, glucose and 6-dGlc induce several genes, including a glucose transporter gene (60). The sugar- and amino acid–induced patatin class I pat (B33) promoter is also induced by the glucose analogs 6-dGlc and 3-O-mGlc in transgenic Arabidopsis plants harboring the Pat (B33)-iudA construct (101). These results suggest that plant cells sense the presence of hexoses as such, independently of hexose phosphorylation.

**Hexokinase** An extensive body of literature suggests that hexose phosphorylation by hexokinase (HXK) is an important sugar sensing mechanism in yeast and
animal systems (18, 36, 40, 51, 79, 102). Somehow the active hexokinase initiates a signaling cascade that leads to altered gene expression. It was proposed that the yeast Hxk2 protein is itself located in the nucleus as part of a DNA-protein complex that binds to glucose-repressed genes (59).

In plants, a similar HXK-dependent sugar sensing mechanism controls many processes and metabolic pathways. Sugar-induced feedback inhibition of photosynthesis has been described for many species and this overrides regulation by light, tissue type, and developmental stage (75, 91, 144). Increased carbohydrate levels lead to inhibition of photosynthesis and a decrease in ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase (Rubisco) protein, other Calvin-cycle enzymes, and chlorophyll. Moreover, this inhibition of photosynthesis is sustained by repression of many genes encoding proteins involved in photosynthesis (PS-related genes). For example, decreased Rubisco small subunit (RBCS) transcript levels were observed in a C. rubrum photoautotrophic cell suspension when cultured in the presence of glucose (91). Glucose phosphorylation is essential for repression since non-phosphorylatable analogs such as 6-dGlc and 3-O-mGlc have no effect. Jang & Sheen (75) used a maize protoplast transient expression system to monitor the effects of various sugars on promoter activity of photosynthesis genes. They observed that HXK substrates such as glucose and 2-dGlc induce repression, whereas various metabolic intermediates were ineffective. The involvement of HXK was further suggested by the observation that the HXK-inhibitor mannoheptulose (MNH) blocks the 2-dGlc-mediated repression (75).

A transgenic approach was recently taken to provide more direct evidence for the involvement of HXK in repression of photosynthesis genes (73). Two HXK genes from Arabidopsis, AtHXK1 and AtHXK2, have been cloned and used in overexpression and antisense experiments to investigate the in vivo function of HXK in sugar sensing. Germination of wild-type Arabidopsis seeds on a medium containing 6% glucose inhibits hypocotyl elongation and greening of the seedlings, and represses expression of photosynthesis genes. Antisense plants with reduced expression of AtHXK1 and AtHXK2 are less sensitive to these effects of glucose than wild-type Arabidopsis, whereas enhanced glucose sensitivity was observed in HXK-overexpressing plants. In a separate experiment, the yeast HXK2 gene was introduced in Arabidopsis and glucose sensitivity was tested. Such transgenic HXK2-overexpressing lines showed reduced glucose sensitivity similar to the HXK antisense lines. The explanation given for this observation is that the yeast enzyme phosphorylates cellular glucose, thereby reducing enzymatic and signaling activity of the endogenous HXKs, which results in reduced glucose sensitivity. Moreover, yeast HXK apparently has no signaling effect in plants. Overexpression of the Arabidopsis AtHXK1 in transgenic tomato plants leads to a phenotype that includes reduced photosynthetic activity; a regulatory role for HXK was also proposed (25).

HXK-mediated sugar sensing is present in non-green tissues as well. In a cucumber cell culture system, the glyoxyslate cycle genes malate synthase (MS) and isocitrate lyase (ICL) were shown to be repressed by the addition of glucose to the growth medium. 2-dGlc and mannose could mimic this effect but 3-O-mGlc, which is not a HXK substrate, could not (48, 49). Moreover, mannose or 2-dGlc inhibit
germination of Arabidopsis seedlings (121). Adding the HXK inhibitor MNH to the growth medium could relieve this inhibition. In this system 3-O-mGlc and 6-dGlc had no effect, which shows that hexose uptake per se is not involved. It was concluded that mannose inhibits Arabidopsis germination via a hexokinase-mediated step. In celery, the activity of the mannitol-catabolizing enzyme mannitol dehydrogenase (MTD) is repressed by sugars that are substrate for HXK but not by 3-O-mGlc (124). This inhibition of MTD activity could be relieved by MNH. These studies are in agreement with the notion that HXK is of major importance for hexose sensing in the plant’s life cycle.

The function of HXK as a hexose sensor in plants has not been generally accepted (35, 54, 58). Herbers et al (58) suggested that hexose sensing occurs in association with the secretory (Golgi-ER) system. Experiments in which a yeast invertase was expressed in the plant apoplast or vacuole result in monosaccharide release, which leads to repression of PS-related genes such as \textit{CAB}, encoding chlorophyll-a/b binding protein. Since the cytosolic expression of yeast invertase did not induce these changes in gene expression, it was concluded that sensing occurs in association with the endomembrane system independent from HXK. Moreover, Halford et al (54) have questioned the sensing function of HXK. These authors argue that the reduced energy status of the cell due to HXK activity may feed into signaling systems, as was found for the AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), the animal homologue of the yeast SNF1 kinase.

Clearly, the molecular details on the sugar sensing function of HXKs and its signaling to downstream components must be resolved (107), e.g. by functionally separating the enzymatic and signaling function of HXK via mutation analysis. Dominant signaling mutations such as those present in mutant SNF3 and RGT2 hexose binding proteins have not yet been identified for plant HXKs, but for yeast there are reports on the separation of enzymatic and signaling functions (61, 90). Such a separation of functions is essential to understand the way in which HXK operates as a sensor. Also unclear is how the activated HXK interacts with downstream components of the signaling pathway. More plant-specific questions relate to the diversity of hexokinase genes, their regulation, tissue-specific expression patterns, intracellular localization, and the possible control systems that operate on HXKs, such as the trehalose system (45, 157). Mutants should help in elucidating the role of HXK in sugar sensing; recently, the first Arabidopsis hexokinase mutants were isolated (107).

Several other sugar kinases in addition to HXK are present in plants; fructokinase, galactokinase, and arabinose kinase. The Arabidopsis galactokinase (\textit{GAL1}) and arabinose kinase (\textit{ARA1}) genes have been cloned and an arabinose kinase deficient mutant (\textit{ara1}) has also been identified (81, 145). Whether these enzymes have signaling function similar to the proposed HXK function is unclear. The recent identification of an Arabidopsis mutant with a disrupted fructokinase 2 gene should help in answering this question (120).

Galactokinase (Gal1p) has been implicated in monosaccharide sensing in yeast. Gal1p can bind to Gal80p, which is the inhibitor protein of the transcriptional regulator Gal4p. Gal1p binds to Gal80p in the presence of galactose and ATP,
allowing Gal4p to activate expression of the GAL genes (123, 186). Galactokinase enzymatic activity was dispensable for the Gal1p-Gal80p interaction, as shown by a kinase-negative Gal1p mutant that retains its regulatory function.

Other products of intermediary metabolism are likely being sensed. For example, in the maize protoplast system it was found that in addition to glucose, acetate also inhibits expression of photosynthesis genes (75). However, it has been argued that intracellular acidification may cause the acetate-induced repression of photosynthesis genes (35).

**Trehalose**

Trehalose biosynthetic enzymes have a regulatory function in yeast that somehow controls HXK activity and signaling. However, the molecular details of this regulatory mechanism are unclear (157). It has now become apparent that all flowering plants are capable of trehalose biosynthesis and degradation (11, 44, 45, 167). Genes encoding TPS- and TPP-like proteins have been cloned from several plant species and these are present as multigene families (11, 45, 167). These plant TPS and TPP genes can functionally complement yeast tps and tpp mutants, respectively. Overexpression of bacterial and yeast TPS and TPP genes in plants leads to opposite phenotypes (45, 62, 134). These phenotypes, and the detailed analysis of the transgenic plants, suggest that plant trehalose metabolism also has a function in sugar sensing in plants. Interestingly, it was reported that trehalose addition to soybean induced sucrose synthase and alkaline invertase activity (110).

**THE SIGNAL TRANSDUCTION CASCADE**

The sugar sensors feed information into signal transduction cascades that lead to different plant responses. Our knowledge of this process is limited but progress is being made through different approaches. The involvement of protein kinases, protein phosphatases, and other signal transduction mediators such as Ca\(^{2+}\) and calmodulin have been proposed (Figure 3). Furthermore, the importance in plants of SNF1-like protein kinase complexes and interacting proteins in sugar sensing is now being established. In addition, other kinases and phosphatases have been identified that control activity of enzymes in intermediary metabolism such as sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS), sucrose synthase (SS), and nitrate reductase (NR). These protein kinases and phosphatases are most likely connected to sugar signaling pathways.

**Protein Kinases, Protein Phosphatases, Ca\(^{2+}\), and Calmodulin**

Protein Kinases, Protein Phosphatases, Ca\(^{2+}\), and Calmodulin Protein phosphorylation and dephosphorylation, Ca\(^{2+}\), and calmodulin have been implicated in sugar-mediated signaling of the sweet potato and Arabidopsis genes encoding β-amylase, sporamin, and the small subunit of AGPase (106, 113, 154). Specific inhibitors of protein-Ser/Thr phosphatases 1 (PP1) and 2A (PP2A) such as okadaic acid, microcystin-LR, and calyculin A blocked the sugar induction of these genes...
Figure 3  Possible intermediates in sugar-induced signaling in plants. The individual components are discussed in the text.

in sweet potato as well as reporter gene expression in β-amylase promoter-iudA (AMY-GUS) fusion genes in tobacco (154). In addition, inhibitors of Ser/Thr protein kinases, staurosporine and K-252a, inhibited the sugar induction of the AMY-GUS gene in tobacco (115). These authors reported on a sugar-inducible calcium-dependent (calmodulin-domain) Ser/Thr protein kinase (CDPK) associated with the plasma membrane in leaf tissue of tobacco.

The involvement of Ca$^{2+}$ and calmodulin in sugar-induced β-amylase and sporamin expression was suggested from experiments with calmodulin inhibitors La$^{3+}$ and EGTA and the Ca$^{2+}$-channel blockers diltiazem and nicardipine (113). Moreover, cytoplasmic Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations increase upon incubation with sugars, as was demonstrated in experiments with transgenic tobacco plants that expressed a Ca$^{2+}$-sensitive photoprotein of jellyfish, aequorin (113).

The C. rubrum cell culture system has been used to study the sugar-regulated expression of the RBCS, RBCS, CIN1 (encoding cell wall invertase), and PAL (encoding phenylalanine ammonium lyase). In this system, the source-specific RBCS gene is repressed by sugars, whereas the sink-specific CIN1 gene and the pathogen-induced PAL gene are sugar induced (35). These three genes were found to be coordinately regulated by glucose in an HXK-independent way. Four different protein phosphatase inhibitors were able to mimic the glucose-mediated regulation of these three genes. Thus protein dephosphorylation is involved in transducing the sugar signal. Moreover, it appears that sugar signaling requires both de novo protein synthesis and the activation of MAP kinases. The glucose-regulated expression of these three genes is mimicked by stress-related stimuli such as addition of the fungal
elicitor chitosan. Interestingly, the glucose- and elicitor-induced regulation of these three genes involves different perception and signal transduction systems since the protein kinase inhibitor staurosporine inhibits elicitor-induced but not glucose-induced gene expression response. Thus protein kinase activity is essential for transmission of the elicitor signal, whereas protein phosphatase activity is essential for transmission of the glucose signal. These results do not support the model that elevated glucose concentrations as such are the primary signal for induction of stress-related genes (58, 75).

The SNF1 Kinase Complex

The glucose-repressed state in yeast is relieved by the action of the SNF1 kinase complex, a protein-serine/threonine kinase. A shift to low glucose concentrations somehow activates the SNF1 kinase and this results in the phosphorylation of the DNA binding protein MIG1. When glucose is available, MIG1 interacts with the repressor complex SSN6/TUP1 to maintain the glucose-repressed state (163). At low glucose concentrations, MIG1 is phosphorylated and translocated to the cytosol (18, 29, 164). The dissociation of the repressive complex enables the activation of glucose-repressed genes through the involvement of the SNF/SWI chromatin remodeling machine. These glucose-repressed genes encode functions that allow growth of the cells on alternative fermentable carbon sources such as sucrose and galactose. The SNF1 kinase complex is a heterotrimeric protein that consists of the SNF1, SNF4, and a member of the SNF-interacting (SIP) protein family (SIP1-4, GAL83). The SNF1 protein harbors the catalytic function, whereas SNF4 is the activating subunit (77). The SIP proteins function as adapters between the SNF1 and SNF4 subunits. In yeast the function of the different subunits in the SNF1 complex in relation to glucose sensing has been thoroughly investigated and a detailed model presented (18).

The SNF1 kinase complex is evolutionarily conserved and has been found in animals and plants (Table 2). The animal complex is the AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) (55, 56, 83). AMPK is also a heterotrimeric complex and the subunits share considerable homology at the amino acid level with the yeast SNF1 kinase complex subunits. SNF1 kinase homologues have been cloned from many plant species [for a compilation see (53)]. The classification of known plant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yeast</th>
<th>Mammals</th>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>MW plant enzyme</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNF1</td>
<td>α</td>
<td>SnRK</td>
<td>~58 kD</td>
<td>Catalytic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNF4</td>
<td>γ</td>
<td>SNF4 homologue</td>
<td>~40 kD</td>
<td>Activator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIPs</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>SIP homologue</td>
<td>~30 kD</td>
<td>SNF1 + 4 bridging</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aApproximate molecular weights (MW) are provided for the plant subunits.
bSnRK, SNF1-related kinase.
SNF1-related sequences and their expression patterns has been reviewed recently (53). The other components of the plant SNF1 kinase complex have also been identified. For example, the potato SNF1 kinase was used in a yeast two-hybrid system and interacting proteins were found homologous to the yeast GAL83 protein (93). Arabidopsis SNF4 and SIP genes have also been cloned (14). Several of these plant SNF1, SNF4, and SIP genes complement the corresponding yeast mutations, showing the high degree of functional conservation of the complex. In both plants and animals the constituents of the kinase complex are encoded by multigene families (14, 53, 56, 93).

The function of the AMPK complex in animals was proposed to be that of a fuel gauge (55, 56, 83). Activation of the complex leads to energy preservation by inactivating ATP-consuming anabolic enzymes via phosphorylation. Target proteins of the AMPK complex include acetyl-CoA carboxylase (fatty acid synthesis) and 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase (isoprenoid and sterol synthesis). Phosphorylation of these enzymes leads to their inactivation. AMPK activity can be assayed in vitro by determining the phosphorylation of the SAMS peptide. This peptide is derived from the phosphorylation site of the rat acetyl-CoA carboxylase (His Met Arg Ser Ala Met Ser Gly Leu His Leu Val Lys Arg Arg). Plant SNF1-related protein kinases also phosphorylate this peptide (4, 100).

Molecular and physiological analysis of the SNF kinase complex in plants is still limited. However, antisense suppression of a potato SNF1 homologous gene was found to result in loss of sucrose-inducibility of sucrose synthase (125). Moreover, the SAMS peptide kinase activity was reduced in these plants. Two different kinase complexes have been biochemically identified in spinach (153). One such complex may be involved in diverse phosphorylation functions. Moreover, the exchangeable SIP/GAL83 proteins may direct the kinase to different cellular substrates. This would explain the variety of processes in yeast in which the SNF1 kinase complex is active: thermotolerance, sporulation, cell cycle progression, and peroxisome biogenesis (18).

In addition to this proposed function in coupling sugar perception to altered gene expression, the SNF kinase complex likely controls the activity of several enzymes in plant metabolism and in this way allows for rapid changes in metabolism. The activity of plant nitrate reductase (NR) is controlled by phosphorylation at a specific serine residue (in spinach, serine-543). Phosphorylation per se does not alter NR activity but it allows for the binding of 14-3-3 proteins (Figure 4). Once complexed with a 14-3-3 protein, the phosphoserine-NR is inactivated (3). It appears that many enzymes in intermediary metabolism are controlled through protein phosphorylation and 14-3-3 protein binding. These proteins include NR, SPS, SS, and HMG CoA reductase (4, 34, 67, 153, 161). The phosphorylation of these proteins by SNF1-like and other protein kinases, followed by binding of 14-3-3 proteins, results in rapid adaptation of enzymatic activities and metabolic pathways to changing conditions. A family consisting of at least ten 14-3-3 genes has been cloned from Arabidopsis (181), and the encoded proteins are clearly involved in controlling diverse cellular processes in plants. A number of 14-3-3-binding
Figure 4  Control of enzymatic activity by protein kinase activity and 14-3-3 protein binding to the phosphorylated enzyme. As an example nitrate reductase (NR) is shown but this model has been proposed for several enzymes in plant carbohydrate metabolism.

Proteins have been isolated from cauliflower by using affinity chromatography on immobilized 14-3-3 proteins and specific elution with a 14-3-3-binding phosphopeptide (108). These proteins were identified as being active in diverse cellular processes. One of these proteins is a calcium-dependent (calmodulin domain) protein kinase (CDPK) that phosphorylates NR and thereby makes it a target for inhibition by 14-3-3 proteins. Thus it appears that this CDPK is itself a target for phosphorylation and 14-3-3 protein binding.

Interestingly, the nitrate reductase phosphoprotein-14-3-3 protein complex is AMP sensitive. Addition of 5′-AMP or homologous compounds leads to the dissociation of the complex, apparently through the binding of the 5′-AMP to a domain on the 14-3-3 protein (2, 80). Although the physiological relevance of this observation is unclear, enzyme activities may be directly linked in this way to energy charge of the cell.

Other protein kinases in addition to the SNF kinase complex have been identified that also phosphorylate enzymes in intermediary metabolism. A single enzyme can be a target for different protein kinases that regulate its activity in opposite ways. For example, SPS can be both activated and repressed by site-specific protein phosphorylation (161). Diurnal regulation of SPS is controlled by serine-158 phosphorylation through the activity of a SNF1-like kinase that leads to inactivation. This control is overridden by stress-induced activation via a CDPK-mediated serine-424 phosphorylation (161). Moreover, enzymes such as NR, SPS, HMG CoA reductase, and SS can also be phosphorylated by CDPK (33,34,67,162). Phosphorylation of SS selectively activates the sucrose cleavage reaction, thereby releasing UDP-glucose and fructose for intermediary metabolism (67). A spinach NR serine-543 phosphorylating enzyme has recently been purified and its identity
established by partial amino acid sequencing of the protein (33). The peptide sequences of the spinach protein were very similar to the Arabidopsis CDPK6/CPK3 gene (63, 64).

These kinases and phosphatases are themselves targets for regulation. What emerges is a highly complex interactive web that is functional in fine-tuning the assimilatory and respiratory processes, and adapting to continuously changing conditions. Biochemical and molecular knowledge about these kinases is rapidly increasing but the links with sugar signaling cascades need strengthening. The identification and analysis of mutants such as prl1 (see below) should fill this gap.

The biochemical and molecular details of the dephosphorylating enzymes remain to be established. Mammalian protein phosphatases PP2A and PP2C can inactivate plant SNF1 kinase activity (34). Interestingly, the plant PP2C-type protein phosphatase activity encoded by the ABI1 locus can also inactivate SNF1 kinase. This led MacKintosh (100) to suggest a function of other plant PP2Cs, like the serine/threonine receptor–associated kinase-associated protein phosphatases (KAPP) (16, 179) in regulating plant SNF1-like kinases. SNF1-like protein kinases probably are involved in other metabolite signaling pathways as well. A SNF1-like kinase was implicated in Chlamydomonas in the responses to sulfur limitation (26).

PRL1, A SNF1 Kinase Complex Interacting Protein

A T-DNA tagged Arabidopsis mutant that shows growth defects on media containing 175 mM sucrose or glucose was discovered by Koncz and colleagues (111, 138). The T-DNA tag allowed identification of this PLEIOTROPIC REGULATORY LOCUS 1 (PRL1). PRL1 encodes a WD protein that is localized in the nucleus. The prl1 mutant shows a rather pleiotropic phenotype that includes developmental alterations such as a short root. Moreover, prl1 is hypersensitive to ethylene, auxin, cytokinin, ABA, and cold. The presence of 0.1 micromolar ABA already results in prl1 bleaching and growth reduction, whereas this concentration has no effect on wild type. Many genes that are up-regulated by sugar or cytokinin are overexpressed in the mutant, leading to overproduction of anthocyanin and starch.

Remarkably, in a yeast two-hybrid screen for interacting partners, the PRL1 protein was found to interact with AKIN10 and AKIN11, the Arabidopsis homologues of yeast SNF1 protein kinase (9, 42). In yeast this PRL1-AKIN interaction was dependent on the presence of glucose in the medium. Low glucose increased the strength of the interaction, which suggests that these plant proteins are responsive to yeast endogenous glucose-derived signals. PRL1 probably inhibits the phosphorylating activity of AKIN10 and 11, as shown in in vitro experiments with a peptide substrate. In yeast the SNF1 kinase is activated by glucose starvation but, in contrast, sugar feeding to light-grown plants stimulated peptide-substrate kinase activity. This sugar-induced kinase activity is independent of the presence of PRL1 since it is observed both in wild-type and in the prl1 mutant. These observations make it difficult to construct a model on PRL1 function. In addition
to the AKIN10 and 11 proteins, PRL1 was found to interact with other proteins in yeast two-hybrid screens. This and the pleiotropic nature of the mutation suggest that PRL1 is a central regulator in several processes (9).

**Nuclear Processes**

Sugar signaling can result in altered transcriptional activity of target genes, and for most genes documented this seems to be the mode of control. Transcriptional regulation is not the only response to sugars, and cytosolic targets for control have been identified. Enzyme activity can be directly regulated, as proposed for the SNF1 kinase complex. Moreover, the above-mentioned sucrose-regulated \( \text{ATB}_2 \) gene is controlled at translation (135). In addition, modulating mRNA stability is a major control element for cereal \( \alpha \)-amylase gene expression (20).

Several sugar-inducible promoters have been analyzed in some detail to locate sugar-responsive \( \text{cis} \)-elements. In mutagenesis experiments, promoter elements were located that confer sucrose-inducible expression when fused to a heterologous core promoter sequence. Such sucrose-responsive elements (SURE) in the patatin class I promoter interact in gelshift assays with Sucrose Response Factors (50, 97). Moreover, SURE elements may show similarity to the SP8 motifs in the promoter region of the sucrose-induced \( \beta \)-amylase and sporamin genes from sweet potato (71). These SP8 motifs are recognized by the SP8BF nuclear factor (70, 71). A nuclear factor with similar binding specificity to SP8BF also binds to the SURE elements in the patatin promoter. The promoter of the sugar-inducible potato SUS4 gene encoding sucrose synthase contains SURE elements (39). Moreover, SURE homologous sequences were also observed in other sucrose-inducible sucrose synthase genes such as Arabidopsis (\( \text{ASUS}_1 \)), maize (\( \text{SUS}_1 \)), and rice (\( \text{SUS}_1 \)) [for references, see (39)]. Direct experimental evidence for a function of SURE homologous sequences in these promoters is lacking. Moreover, the SP8/SURE elements are not present in the 5'-upstream regions of all sugar-inducible genes. Nuclear factors that are potato tuber-specific or induced by sucrose in leaves were identified that bind to the class-I patatin promoter (85). The binding of these factors was localized to four different regions of this promoter including a SURE-like region.

Plant genes encoding isocitrate lyase and malate synthase genes are developmentally regulated and are induced by starvation and germination. These genes are also responsive to sugars, and the promoter elements involved in repression have been localized. Distinct \( \text{cis} \)-acting elements have been identified for developmental control and sugar repression in these genes (27, 48, 49, 126, 140). The sugar repression elements seem not to resemble the SURE elements.

A cDNA clone encoding a new type of DNA-binding protein, SPF1, that binds the SP8 motif was isolated from a sweet potato petiole cDNA library (71). Interestingly, SPF1 transcript levels decreased when leaf-petiole cuttings were treated with sucrose concentrations that induce accumulation of sporamin and \( \beta \)-amylase mRNAs. This observation suggests that SPF1 is a negative regulator. A putative SPF1 homologue has recently also been isolated from cucumber (84).
CEREAL SEED GERMINATION

The cereal seed germination system provides an interesting system for the analysis of sugar-regulated gene expression. During seed germination starch is mobilized by the action of α-amylases. Cereal α-amylases are encoded by multigene families. In rice nine members comprise the α-amylase gene family (159). During cereal seed germination α-amylases are produced by the scutellar layer of the embryo and by the aleurone layer of the endosperm. The different members of the gene family are expressed in a tissue-specific and developmentally specific way.

RAmy3D [nomenclature according to (159)] is the major gene expressed in the rice scutellum during germination. At the rice seedling elongation stage RAmy1A, RAmy3B, RAmy3C, and RAmy3E are expressed (159).

Glucose generated by the α-amylases is transported to the embryo for growth of the seedling. Interestingly, when glucose levels exceed demand α-amylase gene expression is down-regulated in a process that involves sugar sensing. This regulatory feedback system has been studied in detail in intact tissues and in suspension cultured cells (69, 82, 185). For the RAmy3D gene it was found that HXK is most likely involved in transmitting the glucose signal. The hexokinase substrate 2-dGlc can induce signaling and this signaling is inhibited by the HXK inhibitor glucosamine. Moreover, 3-O-mGlc and 6-dGlc are not effective in signaling (165). Also, it was found that α-amylase expression is inhibited in the barley seed germination system by hexoses that are substrates for HXK but not by other hexoses (122).

Pharmacological studies have also been performed with sugar-repressed genes. In cultivated rice cells, the expression of α-amylase genes like RAmy3D is repressed by sugars (99). In this system, protein phosphatase inhibitors strongly induce amy3D expression and an AMP-activated protein kinase may be involved in induction.

Several, but not all, of the α-amylase genes are GA responsive. GA induces these α-amylase genes but sugars override the GA signal and repress gene expression. The sugar- and GA-responsive elements in the promoter of the RAmy1A gene appear to overlap, which indicates that the two signal transduction pathways communicate at a point upstream of the promoter elements (109). The promoters of the α-amylase genes contain important cis elements for developmentally specific expression and for sugar regulation, as was found in promoter-reporter constructs and nuclear run-on experiments (146). In addition, regulation of mRNA stability appears to be important as well since the RAmy3E mRNA half-life was reduced from 12 h in sugar-starved cells to less than 1 h when sugar was added to the rice suspension cultured cells (146). The major mRNA stability determinants were mapped to specific regions in the 3′-UTR region of the mRNA. These regions did not affect transcription of the gene (19, 20).

GA induces α-amylase activity in the scutellum and aleurone of germinating barley seeds. This GA-mediated α-amylase induction is repressed by sugars in the scutellum only (122). As noted above, sugars that are substrate for HXK are effective in this repression and sugar repression overrides the GA-inductive effect.
Sugars also repress α-amylase expression in the barley GA-constitutive response slender mutant, and it was concluded that sugars negatively interfere with GA signal transduction (122). The sugar repression of GA-induced α-amylase activity and of constitutive α-amylase activity in the slender mutant is mimicked by ABA application, which prompted the suggestion that ABA mediates the glucose effect (see Interacting Signaling Pathways, below). However, glucose decreased ABA concentrations in barley embryos, and ABA sensitivity in this system also seemed to be unaltered, as indicated by expression of the ABA-sensitive Rab16A gene (122).

SEED DEVELOPMENT

Sugar import and utilization during leguminous seed development have been particularly well studied. Hexoses and sucrose serve specialized functions in different phases of seed development. This conclusion was based on analysis of the spatial and temporal expression of genes encoding sucrose metabolizing enzymes and hexose and sucrose transporters (156, 172–174, 176, 180). Hexose metabolism is associated with meristematic activity (cell division) in the developing embryo, whereas sucrose metabolism is associated with starch and protein storage functions. Meristematic versus storage functions could be manipulated by incubating embryos in media with different hexose-to-sucrose ratios and by seed-specific expression of the yeast invertase gene in Vicia narbonensis (175). This model was further supported by high-resolution histographical mapping of glucose concentrations in developing cotyledons of Vicia faba. Glucose co-mapped with regions of meristematic activity, and it was suggested that glucose functions as a developmental trigger molecule or morphogen (13). The importance of monosaccharides for seed development was also shown in maize, where the small seed miniature1 mutant has a defect in the gene encoding extracellular invertase (22). Moreover, carbohydrate metabolism is disturbed in the Arabidopsis wrinkled1 mutant during seed development. This mutant shows reduced HXK activity (38).

Sugars as signals for developmental switches in seed development must act in concert with other factors and phytohormones. In Arabidopsis such factors may include the more general regulators of seed development ABI3, LEC1, and FUS3 (28, 129, 180). The action of these proteins may not be restricted to seed development as originally proposed. For example, the expression pattern of the Arabidopsis ABI3 gene suggests a function in vegetative quiescence processes (131).

INTERACTING SIGNALING PATHWAYS

Sugar-signaling pathways do not operate in isolation but are part of cellular regulatory networks. Recent results clearly show cross talk between different signaling systems, especially those of sugars, phytohormones, and light.
Ethylene

A close interaction between HXK- and ethylene-mediated signaling pathways was revealed by the analysis of the Arabidopsis gin1 mutant (188). This mutant is resistant to elevated (6%) glucose amount. Moreover, elevated sugar levels do not repress PS-related genes as they do in wild-type plants. The gin1 mutation is epistatic to HXK in the glucose signaling pathways since combining gin1-1 with AtHXK1 overexpressing lines produced plants that showed the same glucose insensitivity phenotype and had the same appearance as gin1-1. The gin1 mutants germinate faster, are smaller, and have darker green rosettes than wild-type, and this phenotype is reminiscent of wild-type plants treated with ethylene. Interestingly, the gin1-1 phenotype could be copied in wild-type plants by treatment with the ethylene precursor ACC. In addition, the ethylene-overproducing mutant eto1-1 and the ethylene constitutive response mutant ctr1-1 are also glucose insensitive. Conversely, the ethylene-insensitive mutant etr1-1 shows a glucose hypersensitive phenotype. In the etr1-1 mutant an ethylene receptor is mutated, which results in a dominant ethylene-unresponsive phenotype (66). Further investigations showed that GIN1 acts downstream of ETR1 in the ethylene-signaling pathway. These findings reveal a close interaction between the glucose- and ethylene-signaling pathways. Glucose signaling through HXK and GIN1 down-regulates a branch of the ethylene-signaling pathways that stimulates germination and cotyledon and leaf development (188).

Abscisic Acid and Gibberellic Acid

The cloning and analysis of the sun6 mutation (30,68) led to the discovery that an intact ABA signal transduction chain is important for hexokinase-dependent glucose signaling (68). As well as being sucrose-insensitive, the sun6 mutant is also insensitive to glucose and mannose. Moreover, elevated sugar levels in sun6 do not repress photosynthesis genes. In accordance with these results, whole plant photosynthesis in mature sun6 rosettes was found to be more resistant to the glucose analog 2-dGlc than in wild-type plants (166). The identification of a sun6 allele in a transposon-tagged seed collection allowed cloning of the gene. SUN6 is identical to the previously cloned ABI4 gene (37). The SUN6/ABI4 protein falls in the group of AP2-domain transcription factor genes and the sun6 mutant bears a stop codon in the AP2 domain. The sun6 mutation is allelic to abi4 and, like abi4, germinates on ABA-containing medium. Remarkably, all Arabidopsis aba and abi mutants are, to varying degrees, sugar sensing mutants (68). These results suggest that hexokinase-mediated sugar signaling requires an intact ABA signal transduction chain. It is unclear whether sugars enhance cellular ABA sensitivity or increase ABA levels. The ABI4/SUN6 protein is important during germination and photosynthetic growth. Down-regulation of photosynthesis genes is mediated through ABI4/SUN6, which allows sugar supply to be matched with demand. At germination, ABI4/SUN6 is involved in controlling the mobilization of seed
reserves in an ABA- and sugar-dependent way. That ABA inhibits germination by restricting reserve mobilization was also shown by Garciarrubbio et al (41). These findings may explain the sensitivity of Arabidopsis seeds to mannose (121). Mannose activates HXK signaling, which activates the ABI4/SUN6 gene or gene product through the ABA pathway, thereby restricting reserve mobilization.

The close relation between HXK-mediated sugar sensing and ABA signal transduction may explain many earlier observations on the similar effects of ABA and sugar application on gene expression. For example, in addition to sugars, ABA also inhibits expression of light- (phytochrome) dependent PS-related genes (6, 21, 92, 103). This relationship with light and sugars is also apparent for other phytochrome-regulated genes. Interestingly, phytochrome signaling seems able to control plant ABA amounts, and one can speculate that phytochrome and sugars regulate photosynthesis-related and other genes in an integrated way by modulating ABA levels (170; 171).

Many plants respond to elevated CO₂ by repression of photosynthesis, possibly due to increasing sugar concentrations. Remarkably, this is not a cell-autonomous response but is controlled at the whole plant level (148). Phytohormones, like ABA, may mediate such systemic responses. Studies on the mechanism by which viral movement proteins affect assimilate allocation led to similar conclusions on systemic regulatory systems (98).

Sugars and ABA activate “sink-related” genes such as the sporamin and β-amylase genes of sweet potato (114). Moreover, sugars and ABA promote tuber development (182). In both cases, gibberellic acid (GA) has the opposite effect. Interestingly, modulation of phytochrome B levels in potato greatly affects tuber induction and development (72, 158), and one can speculate whether ABA is a mediator of this response.

ABA inhibits phloem loading of sucrose, whereas GA promotes export of assimilates (1, 168). Thus the ABA/GA balance may regulate cellular sugar levels. The opposite biological effect observed for ABA and GA may be due to interacting signal transduction pathways, as suggested by recent findings on SPINDLY (SPY) function. SPY is a negative regulator of GA action that shows homology to an O-linked N-acetylglucosamine transferase (128). In a barley aleurone transient assay system, SPY repressed the GA-induced activity of α-amylase. Surprisingly, SPY also increased promoter activity of the ABA-inducible dehydrin promoter in the absence of ABA. Thus GA- and ABA-responsive signal transduction chains may interact at a point upstream of SPY. For α-amylase the ABA/GA response has been shown to act through a single cis element (52, 130). In Arabidopsis ABA sensitivity depends on the activity of a farnesyltransferase, the product of the ERA1 gene (24). Interestingly, sucrose and glucose inhibit the expression of a protein farnesyltransferase in pea and thus might increase ABA sensitivity (187).

Sugars and ABA can also act in opposite ways, as was observed in a transgenic tobacco line harboring the Phaseolus phaseolin promoter-GUS gene. Applied ABA could induce this transgene and the endogenous 12S globulin gene in prematuration zygotic embryos. Sucrose repressed this induction but addition of Ca²⁺ to the medium overcame the repression (17).
Cytokinins and Plant Development

Cyclins are central regulators of the cell cycle and are targets for hormonal and metabolic control. In Arabidopsis cytokinin can activate the cell cycle through the D-type cyclin CycD3, which operates at the G1-S phase transition. Constitutive expression of CycD3 in transgenic plants alleviated the cytokinin requirement for cell division (127). Addition of sucrose to quiescent, sugar-starved Arabidopsis cells triggers expression of CycD3 and G1-S phase transition. The CycD2 gene is also induced by sugars (151). Whether sugar has a signaling function in this system or whether its metabolism induces the phase transition has not yet been closely investigated, but it is safe to predict such a signaling function of sugars in the control of the cell cycle.

Plants grown at elevated CO₂ show an increase in apical meristem cell number and size, and a more rapid progression through the cell cycle has been observed in different plant species (78, 86). Growth at elevated CO₂ leads to increased sugar levels that will activate sugar-sensing systems. In the apical meristems this may stimulate increased cell division rates through control of cyclin gene expression.

Many developmental processes are likely tightly linked to sugar signaling mechanisms, e.g. floral induction is dependent on a multifactorial signal that is transported to the vegetative apical meristem. Sucrose is an important component of this inductive signal (8, 96). Interesting in this respect is that the flowering-promoting gene LEAFY is induced by sucrose (10). Results obtained by Tang et al (155) also suggested the importance of sucrose and hexose as signal molecules in plant development. Interference with sucrose metabolism through antisense repression of invertase activity leads to developmental aberrations. These could be relieved by the addition of glucose and fructose to the medium. This effect of hexoses is reminiscent of the situation in developing bean seeds (180).

Many sugar-induced genes are also responsive to jasmonate, e.g. both jasmonate and sugars induce the expression of soybean vegetative storage protein (VSP) genes (7). Wounding, light, and phosphate also control expression of these VSP genes.

Carbon and nitrogen metabolism are tightly linked and it seems obvious that nitrogen-signaling pathways interact with sugar-signaling pathways (95, 118, 141, 152). Similar links can be expected for other metabolites as well (26, 112, 137). Such interactions in turn may be controlled or mediated by phytohormones.

Light

Metabolizable sugars alter the responsiveness of plants to light; this has been described for the far-red light, PHYA-specific, signaling pathway. Sugars can block the so-called far-red light-induced block of greening that is caused by the PHYA-specific repression of protochlorophyllide oxidoreductase (5). Sugars negatively interfere with PHYA signaling, thereby protecting the plant against far-red–induced damage. Interestingly, in the sun6 mutant, sugars are not sensed and PHYA signaling is no longer inhibited by sugars (30). Arabidopsis lines that overexpress PHYB show reduced far-red light–induced PHYA signaling only if metabolizable sugars
are present in the growth medium (147). These and other findings (65, 178) suggest a close interaction between sugar- and light-signaling pathways. For example, the sugar-induced expression of the Arabidopsis β-amylase is greatly enhanced by light, most likely through phytochrome signaling (106, 143).

Phytochrome affects activities of many enzymes in intermediary carbohydrate metabolism and anthocyanin biosynthesis. Overexpression of phytochrome in potato and tobacco leads to anthocyanin biosynthesis, activation of the Calvin cycle assimilatory genes, and the sucrose phosphate synthase gene (142, 183).

Stress

Elevated sugar concentrations can induce resistance to pathogen attack by inducing stress-related genes such as the PR proteins (132). Expression of yeast invertase in the plant apoplast or direct sugar feeding to leaves lead to increased levels of monosaccharides and PR proteins (58). Similarly, it was found that elevated sugar levels during fruit ripening in grape induce antifungal protein accumulation (139).

It has been argued that monosaccharides directly induce stress-related genes, resulting in a systemic protective response (58). However, an alternative model suggests that a separate signaling pathway induces these stress-related genes (35). The sugar-responsive sporamin and β-amylase genes are also induced by elicitor and this induction is GA repressible (114). Since ABA induction of these genes is also GA repressible, sugars and elicitor could well have the ABA pathway in common.

In micorrhizal plant-fungus symbiosis, fungal hyphae penetrate the cortical cells of the host plant root to form arbuscules. This specialized structure allows for carbon transfer from the plant to the fungus, and gibberellic acid may be involved in this mobilization process (12). In the arbusculated cells assimilate unloading is probably maintained by the localized expression of sucrose synthase and soluble invertase. It is tempting to speculate on a signaling function for sugars in this specialized developmental process.

Many environmental stresses, most notably drought and cold, lead to major alteration in carbohydrate metabolism (57, 160, 169), and most likely sugar signaling pathways interact with stress pathways to modulate metabolism. In a screen of selected sugar sensing mutants, one mutant was found to be impaired in the cold acclimation response (L. Wanner & S. Smeekens, unpublished).

CONCLUSION

Significant progress has been made in sugar sensing research in recent years. Many Arabidopsis mutants have been identified with various sugar sensing or signaling phenotypes. Efficient cloning techniques will allow for the identification of the genes involved. Sugar-sensing pathways are clearly closely linked to other signaling pathways, most notably those of hormones. As these links are strengthened and worked out in molecular detail, analysis of mutants and the corresponding
genes should provide new insights and identify connections to other pathways. More sophisticated mutant identification strategies are needed to address specific aspects of plant sugar sensing and signaling. For example, important and well-characterized mutants can be used as starting material to identify suppressor and enhancer mutations that are pathway specific.

Furthermore, the role of sugar transporters in sugar uptake and distribution over cellular compartments and its relation with sugar sensing and signaling must be better understood (94). The compartments in the cell where sugars are sensed must be identified. Clearly, sugar-signaling pathways are intimately woven into cellular signaling webs and what has been discovered so far gives only a glimpse of the complexity of the system overall.
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